Practice of prophylactic antibiotic and timing of administration in two common elective surgeries in Pakistan: An audit-based study

Zakir Khan¹, Naveed Ahmed¹, Asim-u-Rehman¹, Faiz Ullah Khan¹, Maria Auxiliadora Parreiras Martins³.

¹Department of Pharmacy, Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan.
²Department of Pharmacy, Administration and Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Health Science Centre, Xi’an Jiaotong University, China.
³Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Antibiotic prophylaxis usage is one of the main actions to prevent and reduce rate of surgical site infections. Antimicrobial stewardship programme is also focused on audit of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis (SAP). The objective of study was to evaluate the appropriateness of SAP and their pattern of utilization in two tertiary-care teaching hospitals in Islamabad (Pakistan). A total of 965 elective surgeries were performed during the 9 months study period. The two most common elective surgical procedures were Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and Direct right inguinal hernia, that were performed on 443 patients. Adherence to the Standard International Guidelines (CDC, 2017) about appropriate use of antibiotic and timing of administration were main outcomes. The mean age of patients was 43.5±16.3 years. SAP was appropriate according to guidelines in only 5% (n=22) of cases. Appropriate use of SAP was greater in direct right inguinal hernia (10%) than laparoscopic cholecystectomy (1.2%) surgery; P = 0.001. The drug of choice cefazolin was only prescribed to 4.2% (19 out of 443) of patients. Timing of administration was appropriate in 50.8% (225 out of 443) of the procedures. Compliance with timing was significantly lower in Hospital GH (32%) as compared to Hospital PH (71%); P < 0.001. Length of stay was significantly different (P = 0.001) between surgical procedures. The most common antibiotics used inappropriately were ceftriaxone and Cefuroxime. Present study found an overall low adherence to SAP mainly regarding choice and timing of administration. Educational intervention and urgent need for implementation of antimicrobial stewardship programme are required.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are among the most common hospital-acquired infections[1,2]. SSIs are implicated in one-third of postoperative deaths and accounts for 8% of all deaths caused by hospital-acquired infections. The burden of SSIs in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) is growing[3]. A global research project was carried out globally in 2015, including 10,475 patients from 58 countries. It showed that the incidence of SSI was more than doubled in low-income countries (20.0%) as compared to high income countries (7.4%). Dirty surgeries performed were much higher in low-income countries (29.7% vs 16.6% in high-income countries), which was in turn associated with a very high rate of SSI (34.5% low income vs 15.4% high income)[3,4]. Furthermore, SSIs cause pain and discomfort, increase hospital stay and a greater risk of secondary infectious complications. This has an important economic impact with an attributable cost in the UK of £30 million per year[4,5].

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP) majorly focus on prevention of SSI. That is a national priority for Pakistan[6]. SSIs are an important public health threat that concerns the safety of patients and health care professionals[2,7]. These are one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in low- and middle-income countries. Higher incidence of SSI is also associated with higher costs of medications[2,8].

Laboratory studies in the early 1960s established principles of antibiotic prophylaxis against SSIs[9]. Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis (SAP) is among the safest ways to reduce SSIs. The timing of administration and selection of antibiotics are important measures for the prevention of SSIs[10,11]. Despite this evidence, the recommendations are not routinely followed. Antibiotics have been reported to
be used excessively and inappropriately for the prevention of SSIs in surgical patients\textsuperscript{[7]}. Non-compliance with timing and inappropriate choice of antibiotic increase the risk of SSIs and resistance respectively\textsuperscript{[12,13]}. Thus, the appropriate use of these agents is a critical issue for patients\textsuperscript{[8,14]}.

Appropriateness of the prophylactic antibiotics use in clinical settings has been addressed by various epidemiological studies in different countries\textsuperscript{[2,7,8,14]}. However, limited data is published on this topic in Pakistan. Our country has a tropical climate, which poses a significant challenge clinically for the management of a wide variety of infections. Therefore, the present study was conducted to report surgical antibiotic prophylaxis (SAP) use and adherence with standard guidelines in two most common elective surgical procedures in Pakistan.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Study design and Setting

A cross-sectional observational study was conducted between August 20, 2017 and April 20, 2018. General surgery departments of Pakistan Institute of Medical Science (PIMS) which is government hospital (GH) and Shifa International Hospital (SIH), a private hospital (PH) at Islamabad, Pakistan were selected. GH is a 600 beds tertiary care hospital and one of the region’s leading tertiary level hospitals which includes 22 medical and surgical specialist centers. PH is also a tertiary care, multi-speciality 500 beds teaching hospital. Both hospitals provide medical facilities to the Rawalpindi and Islamabad regions. These are national level referral hospital for Northern areas of Azad Jammu Kashmir, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Punjab, Pakistan. Therefore, it is approached by a population of different regions of Pakistan and more indicative of the country’s health status.

2.2. Study Population

Adults patients ages greater than 18 years and with no previous infection and surgery were included. A total of 965 patients were subjected to elective surgical procedures during study period. Among them laparoscopic cholecystectomy (Lap-Chole) and direct right inguinal hernia (DRIH) were performed in 504 patients. A total of 61 of 504 (12%) patients were excluded due to incomplete medical record (33 patients) or having age less than 18 years (28 patients). Finally, 443 (Lap-Chole n=250, DRIH n=193) cases were recruited for present study. These are frequent surgeries in selected hospitals, and they represent procedures generally classified as clean-contaminated and clean.

2.3. Data Collection Method

Each patient was requested for participation and a written informed consent was obtained from every patient after explaining the study objectives. The medical record of each patient was reviewed, and summarized on a standardized case report form. The following characteristics were collected from each patient undergoing surgery: age, gender, weight, height, admission diagnosis, type and duration of the surgical intervention, details of antibiotic prophylaxis including type of antibiotic agents, administration route, dosage, time, and length of hospital stay at time of survey.

2.4. Outcomes measures

The SAP were judged as has been judged appropriate if the antibiotic and the timing were in accordance with the Centers for disease control (CDC) and prevention guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection, 2017\textsuperscript{[10]}, WHO Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system was used for most common classes and combination of antibiotics\textsuperscript{[17]}. For each surgical procedure the following items were considered: type of antibiotic and the timing of its administration within 60 minutes before surgical incision. Present study assessed different aspect of pre-operative antibiotic administration. These aspects were supported by Strength of Evidence A which include level 1, level 2 and level 3 evidences. Level 1 includes evidence from large, well conducted, randomized controlled clinical trials or meta-analysis, Level 2 from small, well conducted randomized controlled clinical trials and level 3 include well conducted cohort studies. According to protocols, patients undergoing Lap-Chole and DRIH procedures, a single dose of cefazolin is sufficient as antibiotic prophylaxis. Clindamycin or vancomycin is an acceptable alternative in patients with a documented β-lactam allergy\textsuperscript{[1,10]}. Details are summarized in (Table 1).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Pre-operative Prescription} & \textbf{Antibiotic use and route} & \textbf{Dose} & \textbf{Timing (min)} & \textbf{Strength of Evidence} \\
\hline
Lap-Chole & First line & Cefazolin IV & 2g & 60 & A \\
& Alternative & Clindamycin IV & 900 mg & 60 & A \\
& & Gentamicin IV & 5mg/kg & 60 & A \\
DRIH & First line & Cefazolin IV & 2g & 60 & A \\
& Alternative & Clindamycin IV & 900 mg & 60 & A \\
& & Vancomycin & 15 mg/kg & 120 & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Summary of compliance criteria with Standard treatment Guidelines\textsuperscript{[1,10]}}
\end{table}

2.6 Sample size

To determine the number of surgical procedures needed to sufficiently power the analysis, it was expected overall appropriate use of prophylactic antibiotics to be approximately 50%, assuming a confidence interval of 95%, a tolerable level of type-I error of 5%. The minimum size required of the sample was estimated to be at least 384.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

The outcomes of interest were the overall adherence with guidelines on appropriateness of antibiotic choice and timing of antibiotic administration prior to surgery amongst surgical patients. Descriptive statistics was used for mean,
range, frequency, percentage and standard deviation. Association between compliance of antibiotic choice and timing was determined using Pearson Chi Square. Phi and Cramer’s V test were also used to show strength of association among variables. All tests were two-tailed and a p-value of 0.05 or less was defined as statistically significant. The following independent variables were included: gender (male =0, female =1), age (continuous, in years), weight (continuous, in kilogram), surgery type (Lap-Chole=1, DRIH=2), antibiotic name (continuous nominal), dose (continuous, in gram), administration time of prophylactic antibiotics (in hours-minutes), start time of surgery (in hours-minutes), time of PPA administration before surgical incision (continuous, in minutes), end time of surgery (in hours-minutes), duration of stay (continuous, in days), hospital type (GH (government hospital)=0, PH (private hospital) =1), antibiotic use (inappropriate choice= 0, appropriate choice=1). These variables and comparisons are based on previous study[7]. Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Version 22.0).

**Ethics approval**

As a first step, approvals were obtained from bioethical committee of Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan (No. DFBS/2017-623) and from the Ethical/Institutional review board of Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences (No. F.1-1/2017/ERB/SZABMU/) and Shifa international hospital (No. IRB-637-085-2017), Islamabad, Pakistan. A written and oral informed consent was also taken from all participants before observing medication records.

### 3. RESULTS

Among the 443 patients, most were men (278; 62.7%) with an average age of 43.5 years (18–98). Sample descriptions are listed in (Figure 1) and general characteristics of patients are given in (Table 2).

**Table 2:** The general characteristics of patients in two common elective surgeries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Lap-Chole</th>
<th>DRIH</th>
<th>Total sample</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital type (GH/PH) n (%)</td>
<td>123/127 (49.2/50.8)</td>
<td>109/84 (56.5/43.5)</td>
<td>232/211 (52.4/47.6)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients n (%)</td>
<td>250 (56.4)</td>
<td>193 (43.6)</td>
<td>443 (100%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Male/Female n (%)</td>
<td>131/119 (52.4/47.6)</td>
<td>147/46 (76.1/23.9)</td>
<td>278/165 (62.7/37.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year Mean ± standard deviation (range)</td>
<td>45.75 ± 16.6 (18-92)</td>
<td>40.58 ± 15.6 (18-98)</td>
<td>43.50±16.37 (18-98)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, Kg Mean ± standard deviation (range)</td>
<td>75.7±10.5 (53-107)</td>
<td>73.9 ± 9.8 (45-105)</td>
<td>74.97±10.25 (45-107)</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay Mean ± standard deviation (range)</td>
<td>3.2±.60 (2-4)</td>
<td>2.6 ±.54 (2-4)</td>
<td>2.99±.661 (2-4)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legends: n number, % percentage, Lap-Chole Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, DRIH Direct Right Inguinal Hernia, GH Government hospital, PH Private hospital
Total Elective surgical Procedures during study period
n=965

- Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy (n=285)
- Direct Right Inguinal hernia (n=221)
  - Hemorrhoidectomy (n=81)
  - Total hip replacement (n=90)
  - Total knee replacement (n=86)
  - Tonsillectomy (n=78)
  - Septoplasty (n=66)
- Abdominal hysterectomy (n=58)

Most two common elective surgeries selected
(Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy + Direct Right Inguinal hernia)
(n=506)
- 28 patients were excluded due to age less than 18 years
- 33 patients were excluded because of incomplete medical record

Finally, 443 (Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy n=250, Direct Right Inguinal hernia n=193) cases were recruited for present study

Fig. 1: Flowchart for inclusion of eligible two common surgeries
Antibiotic prophylaxis was appropriate only in 5% of the procedures. Compliance with antibiotic prophylaxis was not significantly different in Hospital GH (4%) and Hospital PH (6%); \( P = 0.270 \). Phi and Cramer’s V test value was .052 which shows lower strength of association among variables. Appropriate use of antibiotics was greater in DRIH (10%) as compared to Lap-Chole (1.2%) surgery; \( P = 0.001 \). Phi and Cramer’s V test value was .197 which ultimately shows strong association among variables. The evaluation of the appropriateness of the timing of prophylactic administration of antibiotics, defined as only an injection occurred within 60 minutes before surgical incision (only for vancomycin within 120 minutes)\(^{1,10,16}\), indicates an appropriateness only in 50.8% (225 out of 443) of the surgical procedures. Timing of antibiotic prophylaxis was inappropriate in more than 49% of the procedures. Compliance with timing was significantly lower in Hospital GH (32%) compared to Hospital PH (71%); \( P < 0.001 \) (Phi and Cramer’s V test value = .387). Whereas, there was no significant difference between DRIH (49%) and Lap-chole (52%) surgeries regarding timing of antibiotic administration; \( P = 0.562 \) (Phi and Cramer’s V test value = .028). The details are reported in (Table 3).

### Table 3: Compliance with the International guidelines on antibiotic prophylaxis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis</th>
<th>Appropriate timing of antibiotic administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Yes n (%)</td>
<td>No n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 278 (62.7)</td>
<td>17 (6.1)</td>
<td>261 (93.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females 165 (37.3)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>160 (97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay (days)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 days - 99 (22.3)</td>
<td>9 (9)</td>
<td>90 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 days- 250 (56.4)</td>
<td>12 (4.8)</td>
<td>238 (95.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 days - 94 (21.2)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>93 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lap-Chole 250 (56.4)</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td>247 (98.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRIH 193 (43.6)</td>
<td>19 (10)</td>
<td>174 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH 232 (52.4)</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
<td>223 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH 211 (47.6)</td>
<td>13 (6)</td>
<td>198 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total n (%)</td>
<td>22 (5)</td>
<td>421 (95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legends: n number, % percentage, Lap-Chole Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, DRIH Direct Right Inguinal Hernia, GH Government hospital, PH Private hospital

Prophylaxis antibiotics were administered in 100% of the procedures. The most common antibiotics were ceftriaxone 70.6% (313/443), cefuroxime 9.5% and azithromycin 4%. Most common combination of antibiotic was cefoperazone plus sulbactam 4%. The type of antibiotic administered was appropriate only in 22 cases (4.9%), and the antibiotics most frequently used inappropriately were ceftriaxone, cefuroxime, and amoxicillin plus clavulaunic acid. Details on prescribed antibiotics are listed in (Table 4).

### Table 4: Frequency and percentages of various surgical antibiotic prophylaxis prescribed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics (dose)</th>
<th>WHO/ATC code</th>
<th>Lap-chole</th>
<th>DRIH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone (2g)</td>
<td>J01DD04</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone+Sulbactam (1g)</td>
<td>J01DD62</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime (1.5g)</td>
<td>J01DC02</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin+Clavulaunic acid (1.2g)</td>
<td>J01CR02</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin (500 mg)</td>
<td>J01MA02</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Cefazolin (2g)</td>
<td>J01DB04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin Sulbactam (4.5g)</td>
<td>J01CR05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Vancomycin (500mg)</td>
<td>J01XA01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin (500 mg)</td>
<td>J01FA10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin (500 mg)</td>
<td>J01GB06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legends: GH Government hospital, PH Private hospital, n Number, % percentage, WHO/ATC World Health Organization/Anatomical Therapeutic Classification, * First Choice, ** Second Choice
4. DISCUSSION

This study is a comprehensive assessment of appropriate prescription of SAP amongst the most common surgical procedures in two tertiary care hospitals. This kind of research has not been previously conducted in Pakistan. We found a substantial proportion of inappropriate SAP contradictory with evidence based standard treatment guidelines. Appropriate choice of antibiotic is important step in surgical prophylaxis. Selection of antibiotic in current study was not adherent with the standard criteria. The SAP was administered in line with standard international recommendations in less than 5% of patients. These findings are aligned with other studies conducted in Italy[17] and Brazil hospital[14] which reported adherence rate of 5.7% and 3.5.8% respectively. This value is lower than other studies conducted in Italy 18.1%[7], France 19.4%[18], India 52%[20] and Germany 70.7%[21], which observed more adherence rate according to guidelines in surgical patients. The first step for the appropriate use of SAP is to provide educational training on antibiotic stewardship programme and associated SSIs risk. Many previously conducted studies demonstrated the benefits of educational intervention for antibiotic prophylaxis. Different studies conducted in Nigeria[13], Italy[7] and Australia[21] found that compliance to antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines improved with increased awareness among surgeons and other health care team members.

Appropriate timing of administration of SAP was 50.8% in present study. These findings are align with study conducted in Italy which showed 53.4% adherence rate with guidelines[17]. Previous studies conducted in Australia (43.3%)[22], Northern Nigeria (16.5%)[21] and Egypt (5%)[21] reported low adherence rate as compared to our study. Whereas, higher rate was reported by other studies conducted in Greece (100%)[14], England (86.4%)[21], France (76.6%)[19] and Italy (75.7%)[22]. It is a best evidence that delayed administration of SAP is associated with 2 times greater risk of SSIs as compared to timely administration[12]. Lack of standard guidelines and protocols for antibiotic prophylaxis in these hospitals could be a reason of non-compliance in our study. Similar reason was also coded in previous studies[12,23,24]. Lack of knowledge, unavailability of clinical pharmacist and poor collaboration with health care team are another reason for non-compliance in present study. Further, large scale and multi-centered studies are needed to dig out others contributing factors of non-compliance.

In the present study the most common antibiotics were ceftriaxone. A study conducted in Ethiopia also reported that ceftriaxone was excessively and inappropriately used in their settings[27]. These finding are also aligned with the result of a systematic review which, reported third generation cephalosporins as a major type of non-compliant antibiotic[29]. Whereas, these findings are deviated from previously conducted studies in Singapore, Greece, Germany and Italy which showed most common antibiotics were cefazolin, ceforanide, cefuroxime and levofloxacin respectively[9,14,21]. The selected antibiotics for surgical prophylaxis should have coverage against pathogens, be less toxic and inexpensive[13,29]. Cefazolin should be used for surgical prophylaxis except in cases such as significant beta-lactam allergy, known MRSA colonization, or surgical sites with probable organisms that are not covered by cefazolin alone (e.g., appendectomy, colorectal). Clindamycin or vancomycin are often used as alternatives in those with significant beta-lactam allergies[1,8,20].

There are some potential limitations that should be noted. First, the design of the study implicates that adherence to prescribing guideline was only considered when it was recorded in the patients' medical charts. Before and after assessment was not done, further intervention required in future studies. Second, the study results may reflect the epidemiology and guideline adherence in only two common surgical elective surgical procedures across hospitals. However, the goals of the study were to provide an overview of the antibiotic prophylaxis guideline adherence and the appropriateness of prescribed prophylaxis among all patients undergoing surgical treatment, and we do believe that our data provided insights into daily clinical practice. Third, the reasons for non-adherence to antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines were beyond the scope of the current study. Moreover, the present study used published recommendations of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection, 2017, since, there was no local consensus guidelines in selected hospitals. Despite the limitations, as to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have been focused on extensive audit of prevalent practice of prophylactic antibiotic prescribing behavior in selected two common elective surgeries, in a global or local perspective. Therefore, these data are highly important because they provide information that contributes to the understanding of the appropriateness of the prescription of antibiotics prophylaxis prior to surgery and the pattern of antibiotic amongst surgical patients.

5. CONCLUSION

Present study found an overall low adherence to antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines regarding SAP choice and timing of administration. The results provide evidence that health care providers should be aware of their larger role in reducing unnecessary and inappropriate prescription of antibiotics prophylaxis in patients prior to surgery. Compliance with guidelines by surgeons is remains a challenge, as reported by previously conducted studies around the globe and also in present study. Real actions are urgently needed for the implementation of guidelines. There is a clear need for additional efforts and educative interventions to improve antibiotic prophylaxis which is a basic part of antibiotic stewardship programme.
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